# **Engagement Policy Implementation Statement ("EPIS")**

## Life Technologies UK Retirement Benefits Scheme Scheme Year End – 31 March 2025

The purpose of the EPIS is for us, the Trustee of the Life Technologies UK Retirement Benefits Scheme (the "Scheme"), to explain what we have done during the year ending 31 March 2025 to achieve certain policies and objectives set out in the Statement of Investment Principles ("SIP"). It includes:

- 1. How our policies in the SIP about asset stewardship (including both voting and engagement activity) in relation to the Scheme's investments have been followed during the year; and
- 2. How we have exercised our voting rights or how these rights have been exercised on our behalf, including the use of any proxy voting advisory services, and the 'most significant' votes cast over the reporting year.

#### Our conclusion

Based on the activity we have undertaken during the year, we believe that the policies set out in the SIP have been implemented effectively.

In our view, most of the Scheme's material investment managers were able to disclose good evidence of voting and/or engagement activity, and the activities completed by our managers align with our stewardship expectations. We also believe that our voting rights have been implemented effectively on our behalf.

We delegate the management of the Scheme's assets to our fiduciary manager, Aon Investments Limited ("AIL"). We believe the activities completed by our fiduciary manager to review the underlying managers' voting and engagement policies align with our stewardship expectations. We believe our voting rights have been implemented effectively on our behalf. In addition, we are comfortable with the management and the monitoring of ESG integration and stewardship of the underlying managers, including voting rights, has been carried out on our behalf.

# How voting and engagement policies have been followed

The Scheme is invested entirely in pooled funds, and so the responsibility for voting and engagement is delegated to the Scheme's investment managers, which is in line with our policy. We reviewed the stewardship activity of the material investment managers carried out over the Scheme year and in our view, most of the investment managers were able to disclose good evidence of voting and/or engagement activity. More information on the stewardship activity carried out by the Scheme's investment managers can be found in the following sections of this report.

Over the reporting year, we monitored the performance of the Scheme's investments on a quarterly basis. We received in depth portfolio updates from our investment adviser, Aon Investments Limited ("Aon"), at quarterly Trustee meetings, covering investment performance, portfolio positioning, and topical updates, including ESG-related updates. In particular, we received quarterly Environmental, Social and Governance ("ESG") ratings from Aon for the funds the **Error! Reference source not found.** is invested in where available. At the September 2024 Trustee meeting, we received an overview from Aon on their RI-360i ESG dashboard, which provides insights into the Scheme's assets, which steer Aon's engagements with the Scheme's underlying investment managers to mitigate portfolio risks. At the March 2025 Trustee meeting, we received further updates from Aon, highlighting the actions the Aon and the Scheme's underlying investment managers were taking on Stewardship and Engagement.

Each year, we review the annual Stewardship Report provided by our fiduciary manager, AlL. This sets out detailed voting and engagement commentary for each underlying investment manager within the fiduciary investment portfolio and, alongside this EPIS, allows us to assess the actions taken by the AlL-appointed investment managers over the year to ensure they align with our own policies for the Scheme and help us to achieve them.

The Scheme's stewardship policy can be found in the SIP, which is available here: <a href="https://corporate.thermofisher.com/us/en/index/corporate-social-responsibility/our-policies.html?">https://corporate.thermofisher.com/us/en/index/corporate-social-responsibility/our-policies.html?</a>

# Our Engagement Action Scheme

Based on the work we have done for the EPIS, we have decided to take the following steps over the next 12 months:

- We will meet with our fiduciary manager, AIL, to get a better understanding of how it is monitoring voting practices and engaging with underlying managers on our behalf, and how this helps us fulfil our stewardship policies.
- 2. We will undertake an annual review of the AIL stewardship report and evaluate how the underlying investment managers' stewardship policies align with those of the Trustee.

### What is stewardship?

Stewardship is investors using their influence over current or potential investees/issuers, policy makers, service providers and other stakeholders to create long-term value for clients and beneficiaries leading to sustainable benefits for the economy, the environment and society.

This includes prioritising which Environmental, Social and Governance ("ESG") issues to focus on, engaging with investees/issuers, and exercising voting rights.

Differing ownership structures means stewardship practices often differ between asset classes.

Source: UN PRI

3. Where appropriate, we will look for opportunities to develop ESG monitoring of the underlying investment managers.

### Our fiduciary manager's engagement activity

We delegate the management of the Scheme's assets to our fiduciary manager, AIL. AIL manages the Scheme's assets in a range of funds which can include multi-asset, multi-manager and liability matching funds. AIL selects the underlying investment managers on our behalf.

We delegate monitoring of ESG integration and stewardship of the underlying managers to AIL. We have reviewed AIL's latest annual Stewardship Report and we believe it shows that AIL is using its resources to effectively influence positive outcomes in the funds in which it invests.

Over the year, AIL held several engagement meetings with many of the underlying managers in its strategies. AIL discussed ESG integration, stewardship, climate, biodiversity and modern slavery with the investment managers. AIL provided feedback to the managers after these meetings with the aim of improving the standard of ESG integration across its portfolios.

Over the year, AIL engaged with the industry through white papers, working groups, webinars and network events, as well as responding to multiple consultations.

AlL has a net zero commitment to deliver UK delegated investment portfolios and default strategies which have a net zero carbon emissions profile by 2050.

AlL also successfully renewed its signatory status to the 2020 UK Stewardship Code, which is a voluntary code established by the Financial Reporting Council ("FRC") that sets high standards on stewardship for asset owners, investment managers and service providers.

# What is fiduciary management?

Fiduciary management is the delegation of some, or all, of the day-to-day investment decisions and implementation to a fiduciary manager. But the trustees still retain responsibility for setting the high-level investment strategy.

In fiduciary management arrangements, the trustees will often delegate monitoring ESG integration and asset stewardship to its fiduciary manager.

### Our managers' voting activity

Good asset stewardship means being aware and active on voting issues, corporate actions and other responsibilities tied to owning a company's stock. We believe that good stewardship is in the members' best interests to promote best practice and encourage investee companies to access opportunities, manage risk appropriately, and protect shareholders' interests. Understanding and monitoring the stewardship that investment managers practice in relation to the **Error! Reference source not found.**'s investments is an important factor in deciding whether a manager remains the right choice for the **Error! Reference source not found.** 

Voting rights are attached to listed equity shares, including equities held in multi-asset funds. We expect the **Error! Reference source not found.**'s equity-owning investment managers to responsibly exercise their voting rights.

# Why is voting important?

Voting is an essential tool for listed equity investors to communicate their views to a company and input into key business decisions. Resolutions proposed by shareholders increasingly relate to social and environmental issues.

Source: UN PRI

#### Voting statistics

The table below shows the voting statistics for each of the **Error! Reference source not found.**'s material funds with voting rights for the year to 31 March 2025.

Funds	Number of resolutions eligible to vote on	% of resolutions voted	% of votes against management	% of votes abstained from
Legal & General Asset				
Management ("L&G") - Multi- Factor Fund	11,446	99.8%	20.8%	0.4%
UBS Global Asset Management ("UBS") - Global Emerging Markets Equity Climate Transition Fund	7,747	85.0%	6.6%	4.3%
UBS - Global Equity Climate Transition Fund	12,234	93.0%	8.1%	0.1%

Source: Investment Managers. Please note that the 'abstain' votes noted above are a specific category of vote that has been cast, and are distinct from a non-vote.

#### Use of proxy voting advisers

Many investment managers use proxy voting advisers to help them fulfil their stewardship duties. Proxy voting advisers provide recommendations to institutional investors on how to vote at shareholder meetings on issues such as climate change, executive pay and board composition. They can also provide voting execution, research, record keeping and other services.

Responsible investors will dedicate time and resources towards making their own informed decisions, rather than solely relying on their adviser's recommendations.

The table below describes how the **Error! Reference source not found.**'s managers uses proxy voting advisers.

# Why use a proxy voting adviser?

Outsourcing voting activities to proxy advisers enables managers that invest in thousands of companies to participate in many more votes than they would without their support.

Managers	Description of use of proxy voting advisers (in the managers' own words)
L&G	L&G's Investment Stewardship team uses Institutional Shareholder Services' ("ISS")'s 'ProxyExchange' electronic voting platform to electronically vote clients' shares. All voting decisions are made by L&G and we do not outsource any part of the strategic decisions. To ensure our proxy provider votes in accordance with our position on ESG, we have put in place a custom voting policy with specific voting instructions.

UBS retains the services of ISS for the physical exercise of voting rights and for supporting voting research. UBS retains full discretion when determining how to vote at shareholder meetings.

Source: Investment Managers

### Significant voting examples

To illustrate the voting activity being carried out on our behalf, we asked the **Error! Reference source not found.**'s investment managers to provide a selection of what they consider to be the most significant votes in relation to the **Error! Reference source not found.**'s funds. A sample of these significant votes can be found in the appendix to this statement.

### Our managers' engagement activity

Engagement is when an investor communicates with current (or potential) investee companies (or issuers) to improve their ESG practices, sustainability outcomes or public disclosure. Good engagement identifies relevant ESG issues, sets objectives, tracks results, maps escalation strategies and incorporates findings into investment decision-making.

The table below shows some of the engagement activity carried out by the **Error! Reference source not found.**'s material managers. The managers have provided information for the most recent calendar year available.

Pda	Number of eng	gagements	Thomas anguaged on at a fund lovel	
Funds	Fund level	Firm level	Themes engaged on at a fund level	
L&G - Multi-Factor Equity Fund	682	4,399	Environment - Climate Change; Natural resource use/impact; Pollution, Waste Social - Human and Labour Rights; Human capital management Governance - Board Effectiveness - Diversity, Independence or Oversight; Remuneration; Strategy, Financial & Reporting - Financial Performance; Strategy/Purpose Other - Multiple Topics	
UBS - Global Emerging Markets Equity Climate Transition Fund	38	425	Environment - Climate Change; Natural Resource Use/Impact Social - Human and Labour Rights; Human capital management Governance - Remuneration; Board effectiveness - Other	
UBS - Global Equity Climate Transition Fund	174	425	Environment - Climate Change; Natural resource use/impact; Pollution, Waste Social - Human and labour rights; Human capital management Governance - Remuneration; Board effectiveness - Other; Leadership - Chair/CEO Strategy, Financial & Reporting - Capital Allocation; Strategy/purpose	
Aegon - European Asset Backed Securities ("ABS") Fund	115	422	Environment - Climate Change Social - Human and Labour Rights Governance - Leadership - Chair/CEO; Remuneration Other - General Disclosure	
M&G - Sustainable Total Return Credit Investment ("STRCI") Fund	12	406	Environnent - Net Zero / Decarbonisation; CA 100+ Engagements; Climate Change; Nature & Biodiversity Social - Diversity & Inclusion Governance - Executive Remuneration	

Source: Investment Managers

#### **Data limitations**

L&G has provided complete engagement information. We note that the total number of engagements above refers specifically to the total number of interactions L&G held with individual companies as opposed to the number of engagements on specific engagement themes. Each interaction may cover multiple themes.

This report does not include commentary on certain asset classes such as liability driven investments, cash or assets accessed via derivatives (such as

synthetic credit), due to the limited materiality of stewardship to these asset classes.

Over the reporting year the Scheme invested in cashflow driven investment funds (Allspring Climate Transition Buy and Maintain Plus Funds), however as these funds were only incepted in January 2025, there is no suitable engagement information to report on for these funds. As such, they will be included in next year's statement.

Further, this report does not include the additional voluntary contributions ("AVCs") due to the relatively small proportion of the Scheme's assets that are held as AVCs.

### Appendix - Significant Voting Examples

In the table below are some significant vote examples provided by the **Error! Reference source not found.**'s managers. We consider a significant vote to be one which the manager considers significant. Investment managers use a wide variety of criteria to determine what they consider a significant vote, an example of which is outlined in the example below:

L&G - Multi-Factor Equity Fund	Company name	Wells Fargo & Company
-	Date of vote	30 April 2024
	Approximate size of	
	fund's/mandate's holding as at the date of the vote (as % of portfolio)	0.5
	Summary of the resolution	Resolution 7: Commission Third Party Assessment on Company's Commitment to Freedom of Association and Collective Bargaining Rights
	How you voted?	Votes supporting resolution
	Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	L&G publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website with the rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics.
	Rationale for the voting decision	Shareholder Resolution - Labour rights: A vote in favour is applied as L&G supports proposals that are set to improve human rights standards and employee policies because we consider this issue to be a material risk to companies.
	Outcome of the vote	Fail
	Implications of the outcome eg were there any lessons learned and what likely future steps will you take in response to the outcome?	L&G will continue to engage with our investee companies, publicly advocate our position on this issue and monitor company and market-level progress.
	On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be most significant?	High Profile meeting: This shareholder resolution is considered significant due to the relatively high level of support received.
UBS - Global Emerging	Company name	Zai Lab Limited
Markets Equity Climate	Date of vote	18 June 2024
Transition Fund	Approximate size of fund's/mandate's holding as at the date of the vote (as % of portfolio)	Not provided
	Summary of the resolution	Advisory Vote to Ratify Named Executive Officers' Compensation
	How you voted?	Votes against resolution
	Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the	No
	company ahead of the vote?	Evenutive pay is not aligned with a sufarrance
	Rationale for the voting decision	Executive pay is not aligned with performance.  Majority of awards vest without reference to performance conditions. Lack of a clawback provision.
	Outcome of the vote	Pass
	Implications of the outcome eg were there any lessons learned and what likely future steps will you take in response to the outcome?	Given strong shareholder opposition, we shall monitor further developments.

	On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be most significant?	Over 32% of shareholders voted against the resolution.
UBS - Global Equity Climate	Company name	Bank of America Corporation
Transition Fund	Date of vote	24 April 2024
	Approximate size of fund's/mandate's holding as at the date of the vote (as % of portfolio)	Not provided
	Summary of the resolution	Report on Clean Energy Supply Financing Ratio
	How you voted?	Votes supporting resolution
	Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	No
	Rationale for the voting decision	We will support proposals that seek to promote greater disclosure and transparency in corporate environmental policies as long as: a) the issues are not already effectively dealt with through legislation or regulation; b) the company has not already responded in a sufficient manner; and c) the proposal is not unduly burdensome or overly prescriptive.
	Outcome of the vote	Fail
	Implications of the outcome eg were there any lessons learned and what likely future steps will you take in response to the outcome?	Given strong shareholder support, we shall monitor further developments.
	On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be most significant?	Aggregate percentage of votes in support of resolution exceeded 25% of votes cast.

Source: Investment Managers